UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,140	01/19/2006	Charles William Worrell	PU030206	8088
24498 7590 10/28/2009 Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC P.O. Box 5312			EXAMINER	
			CHOWDHURY, NIGAR	
Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/565,140	WORRELL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NIGAR CHOWDHURY	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 January 2006 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected or biological objected or biological objected or abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objective.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-9, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 7,313,315 by Morris et al. in view of US 6,031,960 by Lane.
- 2. Regarding **claim 1**, Morris disclose a method for modifying a stream of multimedia content to implement playback comprising:
 - setting a discontinuity indicator in an adaptation field associated with a video frame (col. 6 lines 40-52);

Morris fails to disclose

 setting a substitute program clock reference (PCR) value in the adaptation field to facilitate playback of the multimedia stream in accordance with a desired trick mode.

Lane discloses

 setting a substitute program clock reference (PCR) value in the adaptation field to facilitate playback of the multimedia stream in accordance with a desired trick mode (fig. 1, col. 3 lines 40-58, col. 4 lines 34-42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the proposed combination of Morris's system to include a substitute PCR value, as taught by Lane, in an adaptation field to facilitate playback of the multimedia stream in accordance with a desired tick mode.

- 3. Regarding **claim 2**, Lane discloses the method further comprising:
 - inserting an adaptation field associated with at least one video frame with which an adaptation field was not already associated (fig. 1, col. 3 lines 40-58, col. 4 lines 51-63).
- 4. Regarding **claim 4**, Lane discloses the method further comprising removing at least one video frame from the stream of multimedia content (col. 18 lines 3-21).
- 5. Regarding **claim 5**, Lane discloses the method further comprising removing at least one adaptation field associated with the removed video frame (fig. 1, col. 3 lines 40-58, col. 18 lines 3-21).

Application/Control Number: 10/565,140 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

6. Regarding **claim 6**, Lane discloses the method further comprising delivering the modified stream of multimedia content to an MPEG receiver (col. 2 lines 43-49, col. 4 lines 64-67).

- 7. **Claim 8** is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 1 above.
- 8. **Claim 9** is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 2 above.
- 9. Claim 11 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 4 above.
- 10. Claim 12 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 5 above.
- 11. Claim 13 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 6 above.
- 12. Claims 3, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 7,313,315 by Morris et al. and US 6,031,960 by Lane in view of US 7,292,782 by Sugahara et al.
- 13. Regarding **claim 3**, Morris discloses a discontinuity indicator, Lane discloses substitute PCR value but fails to disclose the method wherein said step of setting a substitute PCR value comprises setting the substitute PCR value to a value

the adaptation field is associated.

Sugahara discloses the method wherein said step of setting a substitute PCR

Page 5

value comprises setting the substitute PCR value to a value approximately equal to a

presentation time stamp (PTS) of the video frame with which the adaptation field is

associated (col. 44 lines 23-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

applicant's invention to modify the proposed combination of Morris and Lane's system

to include a PCR value, as taught by Sugahara, approximately same as PTS value of

the video frame.

14. Claim 10 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding

claim 3 above.

15. Claims 7, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

7,313,315 by Morris et al. and US 6,031,960 by Lane.

16. Regarding claim 7, Lane discloses MPEG receiver but fails to disclose the

method wherein the MPEG receiver is an Advanced Television Systems Committee

(ATSC) receiver.

It is noted that the use of Advanced Television System Committee is old and

well-known in the recording art. Therefore, official notice is taken. Moreover, it would

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2621

made to have a well-known Advanced Television System Committee which produces wide screen images up to 1920X1080 pixels in size more than six times the display resolution of the earlier standard for a viewer convenient.

17. Claim 14 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 7 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIGAR CHOWDHURY whose telephone number is (571)272-8890. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,140

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 7

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NC 10/22/2009

/JAMIE JO ATALA/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621